CANDOUR *The British Views-Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 38, No 2. *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* February, 1987.

COMMUNISM VERSUS CAPITALISM

by JOHN COTTER

There are always two categories in Communism - those who are doing the deceiving, i.e. the deceivers, and the deceived. This writer, himself a Communist once, still remembers its seductive appeals to idealism and to hatred - heady, explosive wines, especially when one is young. This article will try to enlighten those still under its thrall, i.e. the deceived.

Now the one thing the Communists are good at is propaganda. The Communist countries cannot feed themselves, and there is a steady stream of people trying to escape or emigrate to the so-called "Capitalist" West. The USSR has 400,000 guard dogs on its borders to stop the people leaving. A similar function is provided by the Berlin Wall. Compare that with much maligned South Africa where there are more than one million illegal immigrants and thousands more trying to get in!

Monopoly In New Hands

However, let us accept, at least for definition purposes, the popular fallacy that Communism is some kind of wealth diffusion, particularly among the "working classes", and that Capitalism is some kind of concentration of wealth among the "bourgeois" or middle classes.

As Communism abolishes all individual ownership of property, and Capitalism does not, there must, even by definition, be automatically more wealth diffusion in the Capitalist countries and more wealth concentration in the Communist countries. All that happens is that Capitalism is turned into a state monopoly with a new, privileged elite of commissars, managers and bureaucrats holding down the best positions in the Marxist state.

The privileges of Capitalism, and its potentialities for abuse, are simply transferred to new hands. No wonder many rich and influential individuals are Communists, e.g. Castro was the privileged son of a millionaire, the 1960 Stalin (now Lenin) Prize winner was the billionaire Cyrus Eaton, and it is the oil czar Dr. Armand Hammer who has made countless billion dollar deals with the Soviet Union.

The Hammer (And Sickle) Family

Hammer's father, Dr. Julius Hammer, also a multi-millionaire, was one of the organisers of the Communist Party in the U.S.A. in 1919, and a friend of Lenin. Indeed, he was imprisoned for his Red views. Armand Hammer was also a friend of Lenin and Trotsky. He is now a close friend of Prince Charles and finances the six United World Colleges for him. Prince Charles is President of the United World Colleges International Council. Needless to say, these Colleges are the training grounds for the future governing elite of a Marxist one-world system. The Colleges are situated in Canada, Wales, the United States, Singapore and Swaziland, and two more are due in Venezuela and India.

Prince Charles wanted Dr. Hammer, a Jew, to be god-father to his second son, Harry, but the Queen intervened to prohibit it. Buckingham Palace has never considered the friendship to be appropriate.

The researches of Professor Richard Hamilton of McGill University, Montreal, in 1973 confirmed that most wealthy businessmen are liberal - in some cases, very liberal! "There is no distinctive pattern to justify labelling businessmen as conservatives," he said (**Toronto Star**, May 14th, 1973). His analysis was based on seven American surveys of political preferences covering a 25-year period.
All the prominent British spies for the Soviet Union were of the "upper middle class", one (Anthony Blunt) even working for Buckingham Palace! Kim Philby went to one of the best public schools where the wearing of a top hat and morning coat was mandatory for the pupils!

The Confidence Trick Of Communism
Communism seeks power, total power - not social justice or reform. Once it gets power, all its energies are devoted to retaining power, and not social justice or reform.
Communism is simply a confidence trick whereby a cabal of unscrupulous men, with a most effective propaganda machine at their disposal, acquire power by pretending to nationalise a nation's wealth for "the workers". A good example was furnished by the post-war Labour Government. A Socialist Minister, G.R. Strauss - already a millionaire and who went to Rugby School - nationalised 96 steel firms, but retained his own steel works! He thus acquired power over 97 firms. Some wag called it the "Steal Bill".
Another example is from Canada, where the Socialist party is called the New Democratic Party (N.P.D.). Mr. Richard Johnston is an important member of the N.P.D. and sits in the Ontario Provincial Parliament. An article in the Toronto **Globe and Mail**, November 7th, 1981, says of him "with firebrand Richard Johnston at the extreme left....his rhetorical appeal to the left of the party is one of the major points in his strategy".
The same article then went on: "Along with taking over the banks and as many natural resources companies as soon as possible, Mr. Johnston said an N.D.P. Government should get together with the Quebec Government to take over Bell Canada. 'God, we could make a fortune,' he said."
It is wealth diffusion, not wealth concentration, that most Canadian "working class" voters have in mind when they support the N.D.P.

What About The Multi-Nationals?
Similarly with the much-attacked multi-national companies: far from being opposed to Communism, they like it! This has been shown with an enormous wealth of fact and detail by Charles Levinson in his book, **Vodka-Cola**. The multi-nationals, both American and European, have huge investments in the U.S.S.R. They like Communism - there are no strikes, no trade unions as we understand them, and a vast pool of low-paid labour, even slave labour. Ultimately, the multi-nationals are scheduled to become inter-national if and when the New International Economic Order becomes a reality.
In his work **Leninism**, a kind of Communist equivalent of **Mein Kampf**, Stalin referred significantly to "the combination of the Russian revolutionary sweep with American efficiency" as being "the essence of Leninism in Party and State work".
That far-seeing Chairman of the U.S. Congress Banking and Currency Committee, Mr. Louis McFadden, seemed to realise that some sort of liaison of this nature existed between the international financiers of the United States and the international Communists of the U.S.S.R., for he said on the advent of the New Deal on June 10th, 1932:
"Russia was designed to supply the manpower and this country (the U.S.) was to provide the financial power to an inter-national super-state - a

super-state controlled by international bankers and international industrialists, acting together to enslave the world for their own pleasure."

True Reform Of Capitalism

The answer to the problems of capitalism is not to perpetuate the system into a state monopoly. Rather, moves should be made towards the Distributism advocated by G.K. Chesterton. This would aim to distribute, not concentrate, the ownership of industrial wealth and land among as many people as possible, by breaking up capitalist companies and land holdings into workers' co-operatives and family businesses.

A first step - profit-sharing - is in increasing use in North America. The Canadian firm, Canadian Tire, with three hundred stores from coast to coast, has profit-sharing. Its headquarters in Toronto has had it for thirty years. Many of its long-term employees there have several hundred thousand dollars saved up in shares.

A Different Angle

This writer has pursued his argument about Communism and Capitalism on an economic level. But Marxist Communism is also a philosophy or a spiritual force. As such, it violates fundamental Christian belief, as in the case of the Commandments.

Communism does not believe in God and has some very strange gods, especially the mummified corpses of Lenin and Stalin (although the latter is not on display at the moment). It violates the fifth Commandment against killing, the seventh Commandment against stealing and the eighth Commandment against lying. These three sins are accepted, indeed encouraged, if they further the "class war". The tenth Commandment forbids envy of one's neighbour's goods - the most commonly abused by the Communists.

Moreover, Communists do not believe in original sin, that mankind is tainted by original sin and that we live in an imperfect world. Thus, they promise an ideal world, immediately perfected and easily attainable. Some Communists may even believe their own propaganda.

The real difference between Communism and Capitalism does not lie in economic systems, but in Christianity. In the Communist countries, its adherents are driven underground, put in psychiatric hospitals or exiled to remote areas.

In the Communist countries, there are no longer any limits to the exploitation of man by man which rages on unabated. Into the so-called "Capitalist" West the refugees from the "workers' paradise" pour unchecked in their thousands. They come to the West because here Christianity still has some influence and restrains tainted mankind's inherent viciousness to, and exploitation of, his fellow man.

FORGOTTEN HOLOCAUST

When someone mentions the "Holocaust", ask them if they mean the Soviet holocaust of 1932-33. A recent Canadian documentary film, **The Harvest of Despair**, tells the story of the systematic starvation by the Soviet government of some seven million or more people living in the Ukraine in the early 'thirties. Because the Ukrainians were not prepared to be swallowed up in Stalin's consolidation of the Bolshevik Revolution, he confiscated their bread and crops. At its peak, 24,000 people a day were dying of starvation, while "surplus Russian grain" was being sold off in Western Europe. Why is the media so silent about this holocaust?

LEVELLING THE MOUNTAINS

by NOEL HUNT

One of the main reasons for setting up the European Economic Community, though it is never mentioned, was to ensure that the Eastern bloc never ran short of food. From time to time the press carries fragmentary and incomplete reports of the sale of enormous quantities of foodstuffs to our enemies beyond the Iron Curtain. It is certain that many more sales take place than we are allowed to hear about.

Yet in spite of these assiduous, and heavily subsidised, attempts to ensure that our deadly enemies lack for nothing, the food mountains grow ever higher.

Along with this benevolent urge to feed those who would willingly starve us goes great concern about our own national diet. Experts of all sorts take us to task for our eating habits, which they all profess to find unhealthy and unsatisfactory. Obviously, a healthy nation is in the interests of everybody.

It is generally agreed that the national health was never better than during the war years, 1939-45, when the whole nation was strictly rationed. Perhaps this tells us how we can make profitable use of our food mountains.

Ration Packs For Healthy Living

Why should we not use the enormous food surpluses at our disposal to make up a balanced ration pack containing adequate nourishment for an adult or a child for, say, a week? These could then be supplied free of charge to all of those receiving unemployment and social security benefits, or for that matter other cash assistance. Cash help to these unfortunates would not cease, of course. It would merely be reduced by the cost of the ration packs supplied.

The project would not be difficult. The armed forces have for years made use of ration packs when appropriate, so the necessary expertise and machinery is available. Those applying for assistance could be handed ration vouchers entitling them to a specified number of packs each week. Nor need the cost be high. Wartime rations were designed to provide a balanced and healthy diet. That they succeeded in doing so is confirmed by medical evidence.

What is surprising is the smallness of the amounts of food deemed necessary for health. For instance, an adult was entitled to 100 grammes of bacon a week, meat to the value of six pence at today's prices, 50 grammes each of butter and cheese and 100 grammes of margarine and cooking fat. The sugar ration was 225 grammes, with 450 grammes of preserves every two months. Milk, tea, eggs and sweets were also rationed. Sausages, offal and fish were not, nor were vegetables and fruit.

On the face of it, the introduction of a rationing system for the unemployed and their families would improve the national health, thus cutting medical costs. It would reduce the food mountains and almost certainly shorten the dole queues. The amount of money spent on welfare for the unemployed and unfortunate would be reduced, to the benefit of the tax-payer. So the idea is worth considering.

Obstacles To Reform

Unfortunately, the scheme never will be considered, and for two reasons. The first is that the "caring professions" would oppose the idea tooth and nail. Their reasons would be highly moral, thoroughly disinterested, and of stupefying altruism and nobility. In fact, they

will always oppose anything which in any way fetters their present complete control over the spending of public money on "care". Not only are the caring professions a strong and well-organised pressure group, but they all have relatives and friends, each of whom has a vote.
The second reason, too, is concerned with the vote. Under the system we mis-call democracy, everyone over the age of eighteen has a vote, whether or not it is earned, deserved or used sensibly. Every unemployed person, together with his family and all his relatives to the nth. degree, would be mobilised to vote against any suggestion that they be given healthy rations in lieu of money. Add to these the several million votes of the cloth-headed liberals, the breast-beaters and the bleeding hearts. The number of votes that could be mobilised and the hullabaloo that would be set up would ensure that none of our politicians - and few of them are notable for principle or courage - would speak out in favour of rationing and the consequent savings to the tax-payer.
The politicians would not say that the publicity would put them at risk of losing their comfortable jobs: they would merely emit clouds of cant and high-minded hypocrisy as they talked the matter to death.
It is true that if it could be shown that in this way substantial savings to the tax-payer could be made, tax-payers in employment might strongly approve the scheme. However, no politicians would pay any attention to their views. The liberal consensus would blithely ignore them, as it does on other matters that the public want but the liberals do not.
Yet the idea is a good one, for all that. It would benefit the national health, the exchequer and the tax-payer. Unfortunately, the tyranny of the numbskull and the flourishing cult of softness will prevent it from being tried.

ROSE POLITICK

Roll over Novello
The rose is in hock
And England's in peril
Her ways all to cock.

Red rose Socialism -
Would Lenin approve?
Would Marx and his brothers
Applaud such a move?

A hammer, a sickle;
A red flag to rate
Up high, but how fickle -
At once out of date.

A thorn in the anthem,
St. George's chorale
Sounding "Oblivion"
To "Internationale"?

How very unlikely
That leopards can change
Or Socialists labour
Where dragons do range.

That May Day can ever
Be the day for pride!
That England could sever
Her history as guide!

The shop-keeper heroes
Might shy such a bower,
A Socialist rose
For proclaiming - a shower!

B.E. BIGGS

THE BANNED BOOKS OF BRITAIN

Part III of the new Public Order Act is to be put into force on 1st. April, banning even the private distribution of material on race. Now, and till then, is your last chance to obtain our new list of such literature for a 13p stamp. Write to **Gothic Ripples**, Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate HG3 5JQ.

CUT AND THRUST

by JANUS

The whole civilised world, or at least the majority of governments (which is not necessarily the same thing), agrees that South Africa must have a government elected by the majority of its population. It is obvious that this would mean Black rule. Ignoring the fact (as those of us who are thousands of miles away can do) that not every Black in South Africa loves his Black neighbour, this sentimental thinking takes no heed of the many South Africans who are Brown, and emanate from the sub-continent of India. The riots of 1949 involved Blacks against Indians and were only suppressed by White police and troops. When Uganda and Kenya gained independence, the Indian population was expelled - if it was lucky.

What will happen to the near million Indians in South Africa if a Black regime is installed? No doubt if trouble breaks out there will be a massive air-lift of refugees, but to where? There are more than enough people in India. They will not be welcome in 'Third World' countries. West Germany and Canada, who've already accepted countless similar 'refugees' are, so to speak, full up (or fed up). There is but one obvious place - and no prize is offered for naming it! Mrs. Thatcher should immediately start preparations for the reception of several hundred thousand refugees, all penniless and all requiring help and accommodation and all demanding the rights of every citizen (though not necessarily the responsibilities). Failure to do so may incur the adverse criticism of the United Nations.

* * * *

There was an item in a recent news broadcast about an accidental air attack on Kandahar, a town in Afghanistan, by Soviet air force planes that overshot their target. There was a big death-roll and an even bigger number of wounded civilians. But tucked away in there somewhere was the heartening information that for all those injured in the incident, the Kandahar authorities were offering free medical treatment. Well! We thought that under socialism all medical treatment was free, the same as our N.H.S., or better. More than that, though, we imagined that under any system people injured by the forces of the state, or its allies, by a regrettable accident, would be given free medical attention as a matter of course.

* * * *

Imagine a very experienced businessman who acts as go-between in an illegal international gun-running deal, the arms being sold at an enormous profit and the proceeds paid into a secret Swiss bank account, and another item in the pay-off involving the release of three kidnapped people. The businessman makes a statement, when this comes to light publicly, that he "did it to help a friend", and he is left more or less smelling of roses, nobody bothering him about it any more. The secret bank account was to have been in favour of another mutual friend, but this one says he has not seen any of the money. A familiar tale? The businessman is, of course, Mr. Israel - and the Presidential friend he helped looks like he is still in big trouble; not like Mr. Israel, who doesn't seem to care much about the pros and Contras involved, anyway.

The Lebanon, incidentally, looks as if it will soon have a 'hostage mountain' on its hands. Will the day come when it will be sold off at cut price to the Soviet Union?

* * * *

Don't go West, young man! For a bright future, muscle in on the AIDS racket. So says Waltham Forest Council, which is planning to set up a wide range of local "services", like operating a needle exchange group for junkies, publishing multi-lingual AIDS literature, making condoms freely available to sodomites, and appointing the inevitable clutch of "AIDS workers" to "lead and co-ordinate developments". It looks as if AIDS will be as much a growth industry as the race relations racket! We prefer the approach of the Catholic Bishops Conference and Manchester's Chief Constable: that chastity, and fidelity in marriage, is the answer to AIDS, and not the employment of rubber aids or any others.

Of course, they have been howled down in the media on the grounds that people are being made to feel guilty for having sinned. Yet the Old Testament prophets had had to do just that, again and again. The Church has a duty to preach morality, whether such preaching is welcome or unwelcome. If you cannot give people a sense of guilt, a sense of having sinned, you have no hope of changing their life-style. As for the Government's publicity campaign, we see it is claiming AIDS "is not a homosexual disease". Oh no? Isn't it about time H.M.G. stopped pretending that the filthy fairies who like to stew in their own unnatural juices have no more to do with AIDS than the fairies at the bottom of the garden?

* * * *

Police Constable Skhivinder Paul Singh Chohar is Walthamstow's first Asian copper. He came in for some criticism from 31 local residents in a letter to their newspaper for wearing a turban on the beat. Hastily urging, "It's O.K., it's O.K.," the 'paper's editor apologised for printing this letter which presumed to say "boo". P.C. Chohar's turban, he claimed, "helps him get close to the Asian community which he serves." Isn't that great? We thought the police served the public, without distinction, fear or favour, as without a doubt the constable himself does his best to do. The race relations lobby have other ideas. Well, which Asians? The Tamils or Sri Lankans? Sikhs or Hindus? Jews or Chinamen? Not all of these are all that enamoured of turbans, anyway! When P.C. Chohar then removed his turban headgear because "I want to be part of the team" his seniors, far from rejoicing at such integration, were concerned lest it may affect the Met's attempts to recruit more Sikhs!

* * * *

Lord Avebury, the Liberal peer, wants to leave his corpse to the Battersea Dogs' Home to be cut up and fed to its inmates. He has emphasised that he is serious about his bequest, claiming that it's in keeping with his recent conversion to Buddhism. He is so determined to provide such a bizarre treat for the unwanted dogs that he is prepared to make it a condition in his will for leaving money to the home. A pedigree chum, indeed!

THE PASSING OF A TRICKSTER

So Harold Macmillan is dead. He has passed to a higher tribunal than any earthly one. I have never thought much of the Latin tag, "De mortuis nil nisi bonum" when applied to those who act the part of a traitor. It was Macmillan who enthusiastically betrayed White Africa to terrorists and demagogues, who created a colour problem in this country where none existed before, and who first sought to drag us into the graveyard of sovereignty known as the European Common Market. His gifts, if any, were purely of style and showmanship in operating malignly to render palatable, and even bewitching, the policies of treason and surrender. How aptly he filled the part of the successful party politician, as described by A.K. Chesterton: "A racketeer with no skill so highly developed as that of deceiving the people who trust him." With Macmillan's death, perhaps the truth will erupt about his shameful involvement in the scandal of the mass murder of 70,000 forcibly repatriated White Russians.

ROBERT HUXTABLE

GREENE ON ROTHSCHILD

"I wonder if Mr. Graham Greene can shed any light on the proceedings in Australia [the Peter Wright secrets case]? Near the end of **Brighton Rock**, Ida Arnold '...sang softly to herself over the stout - "One night in an alley Lord Rothschild said to me..."'

"She was waiting for Phil (Philby?) Corkery, the initial of whose surname placed before Ida's initials hints strongly at American involvement. Moreover, the protagonist is called Pinkie and his girlfriend's name is Rose. Is there a stone here that should not be left unturned?

"You may feel that it is none of our business, but as Ida said, 'It's the business of anyone who knows the difference between Right and Wrong.'"

A.R. Evans in **The Spectator**, 13th December, 1986

MONEY MATTERS THAT HAVE STUNG THE POPE

John Paul II has been stung into justifying the colossal expense of his £11 million Australian tour, which he admitted was more than the Queen's visit a year ago. He told journalists that to make an issue of it all is "stupid", and then adapted St. Paul's words on Christian redemption being bought by Christ's blood, saying, "People who raise this issue do not know at what price we've been bought."

Where is there any comparison between mankind being redeemed "at a great price" and J.P.II running around the world "at a great price"? The "price" in one case is entirely different from the "price" in the other case. His remark was the worst kind of sophistry. As for the press speculation on the cost of his global trips, this is the way he is being talked about by the media now. If Mohammed is forever going to the mountains, the mountains stop being impressed.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £5.00 OVERSEAS £6.00 AIRMAIL U.S. $10 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England (Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle).